

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/070,521	(07/08/2002	Richard Spitz	10191/2251	7205	
26646	7590 02/13/2006		EXAMINER			
KENYON ONE BROA		ON LLP	JACKSON JI	JACKSON JR, JEROME		
NEW YORK, NY 10004				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•					

DATE MAILED: 02/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					A						
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	7						
		10/070,521		SPITZ ET AL.							
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit							
		Jerome Jaci	kson Jr.	2815							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply											
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).											
Status											
2a)⊠ T 3)□ S	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 De</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is not nce except fo	— n-final. or formal matters, pro		e merits is						
Dispositio	n of Claims										
5)□ 0 6)⊠ 0 7)□ 0	Claim(s) 24 and 30-42 is/are pending in the apparaments of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 24 and 30-42 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from cons									
Applicatio	n Papers										
10)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Examine he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception acception and acception and acception acception and acception acceptance acception acceptance acception acceptance acception acceptance acception acceptance	epted or b) drawing(s) be ion is required	held in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	, ,						
Priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119										
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 											
Attachment(s			. 🗖								
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da) Notice of Informal Pa) Other:	te	O-152)						

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 24 and 30-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The previous rejection still applies.

Applicant's arguments filed 12/2/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues the new language of the claims is not new matter. This argument is not persuasive because the structure defined by the new claims is not originally disclosed. There is no indication that the inventor had possession of the newly claimed structure. It may be an obvious variant of the originally disclosed structures but this is not a persuasive reason for entering new matter. Specifically, there is no indication that the original disclosure anticipates the newly claimed structure including all the limitations of claim 24 in a single device. There is no original disclosure including the structure of the last eight lines of claim 24. Regardless of whether there needn't be exact antecedent basis for the language of claims, there is no antecedent basis in the original disclosure for the structure defined by the last eight lines of claim 24. No figures show the structure of new claim 24 and no original disclosure language anticipates the claim language. The new claim language may be obvious to one of ordinary skill as it basically combines two structure embodiments into one device but that again is not

proper rationale for entering new matter. Whether the new matter is obvious or not is relevant here for determination of what constitutes "new matter". There is no indication or language in the original disclosure that applicant intended to claim the new matter of claim 24 or clearly had possession of the "new matter".

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome Jackson Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-1730. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on 571-272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/070,521

Art Unit: 2815

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jj

JERØME JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER